

From: [Brewer, Linda](#)
To: [Nixon, Lance](#)
Subject: RE: Wilcox
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 2:51:23 PM

It's the 'pursued' that's wrong. Are you trying to say 'potentially encouraged the recipients to participate?'

From: Nixon, Lance
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 2:48 PM
To: Brewer, Linda
Subject: RE: Wilcox

Is this to clumsy?

From: Brewer, Linda
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 2:37 PM
To: Nixon, Lance
Subject: RE: Wilcox

The report did not uncover any operational history or any additional information that would potentially pursued the recipients of the SNL to participate in RI/FS negotiations. Based on a review of the report by Regional Counsel and the Enforcement Section it was concluded that no further enforcement action would be beneficial.

From: Nixon, Lance
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 2:33 PM
To: Brewer, Linda
Subject: RE: Wilcox

I don't see a paragraph H

From: Brewer, Linda
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 2:26 PM
To: Nixon, Lance
Subject: RE: Wilcox

Just noticed something in the next to last sentence of paragraph H (last page)

Ck that plz



9774525

From: Nixon, Lance
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 2:23 PM
To: Brewer, Linda
Subject: RE: Wilcox

Never mind. I see the attachment

From: Brewer, Linda
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 2:20 PM
To: Nixon, Lance
Subject: Wilcox

Lance I proofed the document and made some changes. FYI in the future, Lydia doesn't like the # sign used in SDMS numbers. (I took them out)

Let me know if you want me to put it in e-routing... or if you want to walk it around.

Concurrence list?